

REMARKS

The applicant respectfully requests reconsideration in view of the amendment and the following remarks. The applicant has amended the claims as suggested by the Examiner in order to overcome the 35 USC 112 rejection. In addition, the applicant has amended claim 20 by reinserting formula (5') and (6') because these formulas were inadvertently deleted in the previous amendment.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The applicant has amended these claims and believes that these claims are in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112. For the above reasons, this rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 25 and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 20-24 and 26 are allowed.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 03-2775, under Order No. 14113-00025-US from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: April 9, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Ashley I. Pezzner/

Ashley I. Pezzner

Registration No.: 35,646

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

1007 North Orange Street

P. O. Box 2207

Wilmington, Delaware 19899-2207

(302) 658-9141

(302) 658-5614 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant